

**SLC MN CoC 509 – DRAFT Scoring & Ranking Criteria
PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING (PSH)**

FY 2021 NOFA

Organization	
Project Name	
CoC Model/Component	
Populations Served	
Date of Review	
Reviewer	

THRESHOLD CRITERIA

Criteria	Eligible	Ineligible	Eligible?	Data Source(s)
Eligible entity	Nonprofits, States, local govts, instrumentalities of State/ local gov, and public housing authorities.	Any entity that does not meet criteria identified in earlier column.		Project Application/Intent to Apply Form
Eligible population	Meets HUD requirements	Does NOT meet HUD requirements		Project Application/Intent to Apply Form
Date of Project App	Application is complete and includes all required attachments and is submitted to CoC coordinator before the deadline.	Application is incomplete, does not include all required attachments and/or is submitted to CoC coordinator after the deadline.		Project Application Submission Date
HMIS	Project has capacity and plan to participate in HMIS (or other comparable database for DV providers)	Project does not have capacity and plan to participate in HMIS (or other comparable database for DV providers)		Project Application/Intent to Apply Form
Match	25% match for everything but leasing.	No required match.		Project Application
HUD Monitoring	HUD Monitoring Report is provided as applicable and no unresolved significant findings are identified.	HUD Monitoring Report is not provided (if applicable) or contains unresolved significant findings that should preclude applicant from inclusion.		Project Application
Administrative Costs	Admin costs are not greater than 10%	Admin costs greater than 10%.		Project Application

EVALUATION AND RANKING STANDARDS

PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS

Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Score	Data Source(s)
Coordinated Entry Participation Points Possible:	More than 95% of project entries are from Coordinated Entry referrals 10	90-95% of project entries are from Coordinated Entry referrals 5	Less than 90% of project entries are from Coordinated Entry referrals 0		CoC Supplemental Application
eLOCCS Draw Downs Points Possible:	The project has completed regular quarterly draw downs in eLOCCS 5	The project missed one eLOCCS drawn down 2.5	The project missed two or more eLOCCS drawn downs 0		Financial Assessment
Recaptured/Returned Funds Points Possible:	The project has not returned any funds to HUD in the last two NOFA cycles 5	The project returned funds in the last NOFA Cycle 2.5	The project returned funds in the last two (or more) NOFA Cycles 0		Financial Assessment

LOCAL CRITERIA

Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Score	Data Source(s)
Housing First Assessment Points Possible: 0-15	Project scores between 13 and 15 points on the Housing First Assessment 15	Project scores between 10 and 12 on the Housing First Assessment 8-14	Project scores less than 10 on the Housing First Assessment 0-8		Housing First Self-Assessment (Score indicated by number of points on self-assessment)
Racial equity	Project has implemented strategies that promote racial	Project has plans to implement strategies that promote racial	Project does not have plans to implement strategies that promote racial equity in programming.		CoC Supplemental Application

**SLC MN CoC 509 – DRAFT Scoring & Ranking Criteria
PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING (PSH)**

FY 2021 NOFA

Points Possible:	equity in programming. 5	equity in programming. 2.5	0		
Evidence based, systemic approach to homelessness Points Possible:	Project utilizes evidence-based practices in their programming (i.e. harm reduction, trauma-informed, person centered) 5	Project has plans to utilize or uses some evidence-based practices in their programming 2.5	Project does not utilize evidence-based practices in their programming (i.e. harm reduction, trauma-informed, person centered) 0		CoC Supplemental Application
Culturally Specific/Responsive Programming Points Possible:	Project provides culturally specific/responsive programming 5	Project has plans to provide culturally specific/responsive programming 2.5	Project does not provide culturally specific/responsive programming 0		CoC Supplemental Application
PERFORMANCE MEASURES					
Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Score	Data Source(s)
Exits or retention of permanent housing (PSH only) Points Possible:	More than 93% of participants exit to a permanent destination or remain in project 10	93% of participants exit to a permanent destination or remain in project 5	Less than 93% of participants exit to a permanent destination or remain in project 0		Annual Performance Report
Returns to Homelessness	Less than 15% of participants who exited to a permanent destination returned within 12 months	15% of participants who exited to a permanent destination	More than 15% of participants who exited to a permanent destination returned within 12 months		Annual Performance Report

**SLC MN CoC 509 – DRAFT Scoring & Ranking Criteria
PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING (PSH)**

FY 2021 NOFA

		returned within 12 months			
Points Possible:	10	5	0		
Earned Income (HoH and Adults)	15% of project participants maintained or increased earned income from project entry to annual assessment	10% of project participants maintained or increased earned income from project entry to annual assessment	Less than 10% of project participants increased earned income from project entry to annual assessment		Annual Performance Report
Points Possible:	2.5	1.25	0		
Non-employment Income (HoH and Adults)	65% of project participants increased non-employment income from project entry to annual assessment	55% of project participants increased non-employment income from project entry to annual assessment	Less than 55% of project participants increased non-employment income from project entry to annual assessment		Annual Performance Report
Points Possible:	2.5	1.25	0		
Total Points					
Total Points Possible				75	Reviewer Comments:
Percentage					