SLC MN CoC 509 – DRAFT Scoring & Ranking Criteria
TRANSITIONAL HOUSING (TH)   FY 2021 NOFA

Organization	
Project Name	
CoC Model/Component	
Populations Served	
Date of Review	
Reviewer	

THRESHOLD CRITERIA				
Criteria	**Eligible**	**Ineligible**	**Eligible?**	**Data Source(s)**
Eligible entity	Nonprofits, States, local govs, instrumentalities of State/ local gov, and public housing authorities.	Any entity that does not meet criteria identified in earlier column.		**Project Application/Intent to Apply Form**
Eligible population	Meets HUD requirements	Does NOT meet HUD requirements		**Project Application/Intent to Apply Form**
Date of Project App	Application is complete and includes all required attachments and is submitted to CoC coordinator before the deadline.	Application is incomplete, does not include all required attachments and/or is submitted to CoC coordinator after the deadline.		**Project Application Submission Date**
HMIS	Project has capacity and plan to participate in HMIS (or other comparable database for DV providers)	Project does not have capacity and plan to participate in HMIS (or other comparable database for DV providers)		**Project Application/Intent to Apply Form**
Match	25% match for everything but leasing.	No required match.		**Project Application**
HUD Monitoring	HUD Monitoring Report is provided as applicable and no unresolved significant findings are identified.	HUD Monitoring Report is not provided (if applicable) or contains unresolved significant findings that should preclude applicant from inclusion.		**Project Application**
Administrative Costs	Admin costs are not greater than 10%	Admin costs greater than 10%.		**Project Application**

EVALUATION AND RANKING STANDARDS					
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS					
Criterion	**Most Desirable**	**Desirable**	**Least Desirable**	**Score**	**Data Source(s)**
Coordinated Entry Participation	More than 95% of project entries are from Coordinated Entry referrals	90-95% of project entries are from Coordinated Entry referrals	Less than 90% of project entries are from Coordinated Entry referrals		**CoC Supplemental Application**
Points Possible:	10	5	0		
eLOCCS Draw Downs	The project has completed regular quarterly draw downs in eLOCCS	The project missed one eLOCCS drawn down	The project missed two or more eLOCCS drawn downs		**Financial Assessment**
Points Possible:	5	2.5	0		
Recaptured/Returned Funds	The project has not returned any funds to HUD in the last two NOFA cycles	The project returned funds in the last NOFA Cycle	The project retuned funds in the last two (or more) NOFA Cycles		**Financial Assessment**
Points Possible:	5	2.5	0		
LOCAL CRITERIA					
Criterion	**Most Desirable**	**Desirable**	**Least Desirable**	**Score**	**Data Source(s)**
Housing First Assessment	Project scores between 13 and 15 points on the Housing First Assessment	Project scores between 10 and 12 on the Housing First Assessment	Project scores less than 10 on the Housing First Assessment		**Housing First Self-Assessment** (Score indicated by number of points on self-assessment)
Points Possible: 0-15	15	8-14	0-8		
Racial equity	Project has implemented strategies that promote racial	Project has plans to implement strategies that promote racial	Project does not have plans to implement strategies that promote racial equity in programming.		**CoC Supplemental Application**

	equity in programming.	equity in programming.			
Points Possible:	5	2.5	0		
Evidence based, systemic approach to homelessness	Project utilizes evidence-based practices in their programming (i.e. harm reduction, trauma-informed, person centered)	Project has plans to utilize or uses some evidence-based practices in their programming	Project does not utilize evidence-based practices in their programming (i.e. harm reduction, trauma-informed, person centered)		**CoC Supplemental Application**
Points Possible:	5	2.5	0		
Culturally Specific/Responsive Programming	Project provides culturally specific/responsive programming	Project has plans to provide culturally specific/responsive programming	Project does not provide culturally specific/responsive programming		**CoC Supplemental Application**
Points Possible:	5	2.5	0		

PERFORMANCE MEASURES					
Criterion	**Most Desirable**	**Desirable**	**Least Desirable**	**Score**	**Data Source(s)**
Exits to permanent housing	More than 75% of participants exit to a permanent destination	75% of participants exit to a permanent destination	Less than 75% of participants exit to a permanent destination		**Annual Performance Report**
Points Possible:	10	5	0		
Returns to Homelessness	Less than 5% of participants who exited to a permanent destination returned within 12 months	5% of participants who exited to a permanent destination returned within 12 months	More than 5% of participants who exited to a permanent destination returned within 12 months		**Annual Performance Report**

		5			
Points Possible:	**10**		**0**		
Earned Income (HoH and Adults)	25% of project participants maintained or increased earned income from project entry to annual assessment	15% of project participants maintained or increased earned income from project entry to annual assessment	Less than 15% of project participants increased earned income from project entry to annual assessment		**Annual Performance Report**
Points Possible:	**2.5**	**1.25**	**0**		
Non-employment Income (HoH and Adults)	50% of project participants increased non-employment income from project entry to annual assessment	40% of project participants increased non-employment income from project entry to annual assessment	Less than 40% of project participants increased non-employment income from project entry to annual assessment		**Annual Performance Report**
Points Possible:	**2.5**	**1.25**	**0**		
Total Point					
Total Points Possible				**75**	**Reviewer Comments:**
Percentage					